

#### Deep learning on graphs: Convolutional Neural Networks on Irregular Domains

Sarah Parisot

14/09/2017





- Exploit the underlying structure of the data by learning filters applied to local patches of the input image
  - Translational invariance (weight sharing)
  - Hierarchical representation
- Substantially reduces the complexity of the model with respect to MLPs
- Highly successful for many analysis tasks on euclidean data (image, speech, natural language processing...)































#### Superpixels













#### Meshes













#### Meshes



#### Brain Networks





# Data defined on irregular graphs: Analogy



Euclidean data



Irregular data

#### **Domain Structure**

- Regular pixel grid
- Same number of neighbours per node/ pixel
- Intrinsic node ordering

- Any graph structure
- Variable number of neighbours per node
- No node ordering
- Node Signal/Features

- Image intensities
- Image classification
- Image segmentation

- - Feature vector assigned to each node
- Tasks
  - Whole graph classification Node classification



#### Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data

Michael M. Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Yann LeCun, Arthur Szlam, Pierre Vandergheynst

• Extending the concept of CNNs to irregular domains





#### Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data

Michael M. Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Yann LeCun, Arthur Szlam, Pierre Vandergheynst

- Extending the concept of CNNs to irregular domains
  - Spatial filtering : sliding a filter of defined receptive field across the graph





#### Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data

Michael M. Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Yann LeCun, Arthur Szlam, Pierre Vandergheynst

- Extending the concept of CNNs to irregular domains
  - Spatial filtering : sliding a filter of defined receptive field across the graph
    - Most natural analogy with regular structures Intuitive interpretation





#### Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data

Michael M. Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Yann LeCun, Arthur Szlam, Pierre Vandergheynst

- Extending the concept of CNNs to irregular domains
  - Spatial filtering : sliding a filter of defined receptive field across the graph
    - Most natural analogy with regular structures Intuitive interpretation

Requires defining a neighbourhood system and a node ordering -> not straightforward





#### Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data

Michael M. Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Yann LeCun, Arthur Szlam, Pierre Vandergheynst

Extending the concept of CNNs to irregular domains

•

- Spatial filtering : sliding a filter of defined receptive field across the graph
  - Most natural analogy with regular structures Intuitive interpretation

Requires defining a neighbourhood system and a node ordering -> not straightforward

**Spectral filtering**: Exploiting the concept that convolutions in the spatial domain corresponds to multiplications in the Fourier domain





#### Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data

Michael M. Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Yann LeCun, Arthur Szlam, Pierre Vandergheynst

Extending the concept of CNNs to irregular domains

•

- Spatial filtering : sliding a filter of defined receptive field across the graph
  - Most natural analogy with regular structures Intuitive interpretation
  - Requires defining a neighbourhood system and a node ordering -> not straightforward
- **Spectral filtering**: Exploiting the concept that convolutions in the spatial domain corresponds to multiplications in the Fourier domain
  - No neighbourhood, node ordering definition Principled definition of the convolution operator Can obtain strictly localised filters



#### Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data

Michael M. Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Yann LeCun, Arthur Szlam, Pierre Vandergheynst

- Extending the concept of CNNs to irregular domains
  - · Spatial filtering : sliding a filter of defined receptive field across the graph
    - Most natural analogy with regular structures Intuitive interpretation
    - Requires defining a neighbourhood system and a node ordering -> not straightforward
    - **Spectral filtering**: Exploiting the concept that convolutions in the spatial domain corresponds to multiplications in the Fourier domain
      - No neighbourhood, node ordering definition Principled definition of the convolution operator Can obtain strictly localised filters



Non transferrable between different graph structures



#### Spatial GCNs

- Tailored to a specific type of data [Kawahara et al. NeuroImage 2017]
- Learning a patch operator which represents the neighbourhood system
  - e.g. using geodesic distance, diffusion kernels or mixture models [Monti et al. 2017, Bronstein et al. 2017]
- Filters conditioned on graph edges [Simonovsky et al. 2017]
- Main advantage: Trained spatial GCNs can be applied to data defined on variable domain structures





#### The Emerging Field of Signal Processing on Graphs

Extending High-Dimensional Data Analysis to Networks and Other Irregular Domains

David I Shuman<sup>†</sup>, Sunil K. Narang <sup>‡</sup>, Pascal Frossard<sup>†</sup>, Antonio Ortega<sup>‡</sup> and Pierre Vandergheynst<sup>†</sup> †Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Signal Processing Laboratory (LTS2 and LTS4) ‡University of Southern California (USC), Signal and Image Processing Institute

• Analysing signals  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on an undirected weighted graph  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, W\}$ 





The Emerging Field of Signal Processing on Graphs

Extending High-Dimensional Data Analysis to Networks and Other Irregular Domains

David I Shuman<sup>†</sup>, Sunil K. Narang <sup>‡</sup>, Pascal Frossard<sup>†</sup>, Antonio Ortega<sup>‡</sup> and Pierre Vandergheynst<sup>†</sup> †Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Signal Processing Laboratory (LTS2 and LTS4) ‡University of Southern California (USC), Signal and Image Processing Institute

- Analysing signals  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on an undirected weighted graph  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, W\}$
- Graph laplacian:  $L = D W, D_{ii} = \sum_{i} Wij$

acts as a **difference operator** on the signal  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

$$(Lx)(i) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} W_{ij}[x(i) - x(j)]$$





The Emerging Field of Signal Processing on Graphs

Extending High-Dimensional Data Analysis to Networks and Other Irregular Domains

David I Shuman<sup>†</sup>, Sunil K. Narang <sup>‡</sup>, Pascal Frossard<sup>†</sup>, Antonio Ortega<sup>‡</sup> and Pierre Vandergheynst<sup>†</sup> †Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Signal Processing Laboratory (LTS2 and LTS4) ‡University of Southern California (USC), Signal and Image Processing Institute

- Analysing signals  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on an undirected weighted graph  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, W\}$
- Graph laplacian:  $L = D W, D_{ii} = \sum_{i} Wij$

acts as a **difference operator** on the signal  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

$$(Lx)(i) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} W_{ij}[x(i) - x(j)]$$





The Emerging Field of Signal Processing on Graphs

Extending High-Dimensional Data Analysis to Networks and Other Irregular Domains

David I Shuman<sup>†</sup>, Sunil K. Narang <sup>‡</sup>, Pascal Frossard<sup>†</sup>, Antonio Ortega<sup>‡</sup> and Pierre Vandergheynst<sup>†</sup> †Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Signal Processing Laboratory (LTS2 and LTS4) ‡University of Southern California (USC), Signal and Image Processing Institute

- Analysing signals  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on an undirected weighted graph  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, W\}$
- Graph laplacian:  $L = D W, D_{ii} = \sum_{i} W_{ij}$

acts as a **difference operator** on the signal  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

$$(Lx)(i) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} W_{ij}[x(i) - x(j)]$$



- L is symmetric and positive semi-definite:  $L=U\Lambda U^T$ 



 Analogy with the euclidean domain: expansion of a signal in terms of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator



- Analogy with the euclidean domain: expansion of a signal in terms of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator
- Graph signal:  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Laplacian eigendecomposition:  $L = U \Lambda U^T$



- Analogy with the euclidean domain: expansion of a signal in terms of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator
- Graph signal:  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Laplacian eigendecomposition:  $L = U \Lambda U^T$
- Graph Fourier transform:  $\hat{x} = U^T x$
- Graph inverse Fourier transform:  $x = U \hat{x}$



- Analogy with the euclidean domain: expansion of a signal in terms of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator
- Graph signal:  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Laplacian eigendecomposition:  $L = U \Lambda U^T$
- Graph Fourier transform:  $\hat{x} = U^T x$
- Graph inverse Fourier transform:  $x=U\hat{x}$
- Graph Fourier orthonormal basis:  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$
- Graph "frequencies":  $\Lambda = diag(\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$



- Analogy with the euclidean domain: expansion of a signal in terms of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator
- Graph signal:  $x \in \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Laplacian eigendecomposition:  $L = U \Lambda U^T$
- Graph Fourier transform:  $\hat{x} = U^T x$
- Graph inverse Fourier transform:  $x = U \hat{x}$
- Graph Fourier orthonormal basis:  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{N imes N}$
- Graph "frequencies":  $\Lambda = diag(\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  are non transferrable across graphs

Trained spectral graphs CNNs are non transferrable across graphs

# Graph Fourier Transform: smoothness of the signal



D. I Shuman et al., "The emerging field of signal processing on graphs", 2013



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

 $g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$ 

Fourier transform of x



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$
 Filtering in the Fourier domain



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$

Inverse transform of the filtered signal

- Filter  $g_{\theta}$  parametrised in the Fourier domain on the laplacian eigenvalues



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$

Inverse transform of the filtered signal

- Filter  $g_{\theta}$  parametrised in the Fourier domain on the laplacian eigenvalues

😠 Computationally expensive



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$

- Filter  $g_{\theta}$  parametrised in the Fourier domain on the laplacian eigenvalues
  - Computationally expensive
  - 😨 Filters are not localised



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$

- Filter  $g_{\theta}$  parametrised in the Fourier domain on the laplacian eigenvalues
  - Computationally expensive
  - 😨 Filters are not localised
- Polynomial parametrisation of the filters [Defferrard et al. 2016]  $g_{\theta}(\Lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_k \Lambda^k$



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$

- Filter  $g_{\theta}$  parametrised in the Fourier domain on the laplacian eigenvalues
  - Computationally expensive
  - 😎 Filters are not localised
- Polynomial parametrisation of the filters [Defferrard et al. 2016]  $g_{\theta}(\Lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_k \Lambda^k$  Learned parameters



Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$

- Filter  $g_{\theta}$  parametrised in the Fourier domain on the laplacian eigenvalues
  - Computationally expensive
  - 😨 Filters are not localised
- Polynomial parametrisation of the filters [Defferrard et al. 2016]  $g_{\theta}(\Lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_k \Lambda^k \quad \text{Learned parameters}$





Multiplication in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} * \mathbf{x} = U g_{\theta}(\Lambda) U^T \mathbf{x}$$

Inverse transform of the filtered signal

- Filter  $g_{\theta}$  parametrised in the Fourier domain on the laplacian eigenvalues
  - Computationally expensive
  - 😨 Filters are not localised
- Polynomial parametrisation of the filters [Defferrard et al. 2016]  $g_{\theta}(\Lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \theta_k \Lambda^k \quad \text{Learned parameters}$



 $\bigotimes$  Strictly K-localised (K = order of the polynomials)

Defferrard et al., Convolutional Neural Networks on Graphs with Fast Localized Spectral Filtering 2016



### Applications

• Semi-supervised classification [Kipf et al. 2016, Parisot et al. 2017]



• Distance Metric learning [Ktena et al. 2017]

























#### Distance metric learning



# Distance metric learning: Results on ABIDE database





# Distance metric learning: Results on ABIDE database







Graph Convolutional Neural Networks



- Graph Convolutional Neural Networks
  - Adapt the concept of CNNs to signals defined on non-Euclidean domains



- Graph Convolutional Neural Networks
  - Adapt the concept of CNNs to signals defined on non-Euclidean domains
  - Increasing popularity in the computer vision/machine learning fields (social, medical, graphics applications etc.)



- Graph Convolutional Neural Networks
  - Adapt the concept of CNNs to signals defined on non-Euclidean domains
  - Increasing popularity in the computer vision/machine learning fields (social, medical, graphics applications etc.)
  - Very recent concept, many research opportunities



- Graph Convolutional Neural Networks
  - Adapt the concept of CNNs to signals defined on non-Euclidean domains
  - Increasing popularity in the computer vision/machine learning fields (social, medical, graphics applications etc.)
  - Very recent concept, many research opportunities
- Which model to use?



- Graph Convolutional Neural Networks
  - Adapt the concept of CNNs to signals defined on non-Euclidean domains
  - Increasing popularity in the computer vision/machine learning fields (social, medical, graphics applications etc.)
  - Very recent concept, many research opportunities
- Which model to use?
  - Fixed graph: spectral CNNs



- Graph Convolutional Neural Networks
  - Adapt the concept of CNNs to signals defined on non-Euclidean domains
  - Increasing popularity in the computer vision/machine learning fields (social, medical, graphics applications etc.)
  - Very recent concept, many research opportunities
- Which model to use?
  - Fixed graph: spectral CNNs
  - Variable graph, meshes: spatial CNNs

#### Acknowledgements







#### Questions?







